

Modeling the Disk (three-phase) Interstellar Medium

Gerhard Hensler

Institute of Astronomy, University of Vienna, Tuerkenschanzstr. 17, 1180 Vienna, Austria
email: hensler@astro.univie.ac.at

Abstract. The evolution of galactic disks from their early stages is dominated by gasdynamical effects such as gas infall, galactic fountains, and galactic outflows, and further more. The influence of these processes is only understandable in the framework of diverse gas phases differing in their thermal energies, dynamics, and element abundances. To trace the temporal and chemical evolution of galactic disks, it is therefore essential to model the interstellar gasdynamics combined with stellar dynamics, the interactions between gas phases, and star-gas mass and energy exchanges as detailed as possible. This article reviews the potential of state-of-the-art numerical schemes like Smooth-Particle and grid-based hydrodynamics as well as the inherent processes as of star-formation criteria and feedback, energy deposit and metal enrichment by stars and on the influence of gas-phase interactions on the galactic gas dynamics and chemistry.

Keywords. ISM: kinematics and dynamics, ISM: structure, Galaxy: disk, Galaxy: evolution, galaxies: evolution, galaxies: ISM

1. Introduction

Galactic disks must have formed by dissipation and cooling of the galactic gas that remained after the first star-formation (SF) episode in the spheroidal component of galaxies and mixed with the returned and metal-enriched stellar matter. Cooling enforced the further collapse and angular-momentum kept the radially extended disk rotationally supported. Since then the disk must have been continuously fed and refreshed by infalling intergalactic gas but also depleted by galactic outflows. As known from our Milky Way since the 70's and with the availability of formerly hidden spectral ranges (from the FIR/submm to X-rays) and also perceived in other disk galaxies the Interstellar Medium (ISM) consists of a multi-phase gas (Ferrière 2001), conditioning SF in the cool molecular components and driving the ISM dynamically mainly thru its very hot gas.

Besides external effects structure and evolution of galaxies are primarily determined by the energy budget of its ISM. Reasonably, also the ISM structure and its radiative and kinetic energy contents both are determined by the energy deposit of different sources, on the one hand, and by the energy loss by means of radiative cooling on the other.

The state of the ISM is thus not an isolated and meaningless questioning. Since stars are born from the cool gas phase but energize the ISM by means of their energy and mass release, the treatment of the ISM must ultimately include also the stellar component with its distribution, age, and metallicity. The disk formation and evolution thus depends intimately on the SF and the energy content so that numerical simulations must account for these facts properly. These are, however, the most challenging tasks for theoretical and numerical astrophysics of the ISM and are fundamental for galaxy evolution. But why? On the one side, for SF rate in disks obeys the well-known Schmidt-Kennicutt law (Kennicutt 1998) that relates the $H\alpha$ surface brightness of disks with the gaseous surface density Σ_g , and, on the other hand, we know from theory and empirical results how

much energy is released by stars during their different evolutionary stages. So, where is the problem? Is it not sufficient to relate the SF rate empirically to the gas mass according to present galaxies in the local universe? Why should a detailed treatment of the ISM and its particular and temporal composition be necessary and not a large-scale average of the ISM state?

In the following let us reflect and comment on the present state of the numerical treatment of the multi-phase ISM with its dynamics, its SF and energy feedback, its necessary small-scale physical interaction processes and the application to galaxy evolutionary models. After having explained the most important effects of the ISM on galaxy evolution and their empirical issues from observations, we will consider representative numerical developments and question their drawbacks and advantages without claiming for completeness. And at last we discuss the most advanced numerical efforts with respect to the requirements on a most realistic approach. Nevertheless, already in advance let me concede that the influence of magnetic fields and of Cosmic Rays are not yet included and not yet explored even to a first minor step in simulations.

2. Structural and evolutionary effects on the Interstellar Medium

2.1. Star formation and the Schmidt-Kennicutt Law

Already in 1959 Schmidt argued that the SF rate per unit area relates to Σ_g by a power law with exponent n . The correlation found by Kennicutt (1998) of

$$\Sigma_{\text{H}\alpha}[M_\odot/(\text{yr} \cdot \text{pc}^2)] \propto \Sigma_g[M_\odot/\text{pc}^2]^{1.4 \pm 0.15} \quad (2.1)$$

established that in equilibrium states the amount of gas determines the SF rate. Although this connection looked already pretty tight and holds over more than 4 orders of magnitude in Σ_g with a lower cut-off at almost $10 M_\odot/\text{pc}^2$, advancements by recent observations and theoretical interpretations are more confusing. Heyer et al. (2004) showed that this exponent is only valid for the molecular gas and that the correlation is tighter, while for pure HI the slope is steeper. Gao & Solomon (2004) derived the dependence of the SF rate on the dense molecular cloud cores traced by the HCN molecule.

Such a Kennicutt relation can be theoretically simply supported as

$$\Sigma_{\text{SF}} = \frac{\Sigma_g}{\tau_{\text{SF}}} \propto \frac{\Sigma_g}{\rho_g^{-0.5}} \propto \Sigma_g^{1.5} \quad (2.2)$$

if the scaleheight H is independent on Σ_g , i.e. the gas temperature is constant, so that $\Sigma_g \propto \rho_{g,0} \propto \rho_g$. This fact can be only understood if heating and cooling both are independent of volume gas density ρ_g or have the same dependence on it. Köppen, Theis, & Hensler (1995) did however demonstrate already that the SF rate achieves a dependence on ρ_g^2 , if the stellar heating is compensated by collisional-excited cooling radiation (according to Böhringer & Hensler 1989). The coefficient of this relation determines the SF timescale τ_{SF} .

But how can this be described? Elmegreen (2002) argued that the SF is determined by the free-fall time τ_{ff} . This, however, raises a conflict between the ISM conditions and observed SF rates in the sense that τ_{ff} for a typical density of 100 cm^{-3} amounts to 10^{14} sec, i.e. $3 \cdot 10^6$ yrs. For the galactic molecular cloud mass of $10^9 \dots 10^{10} M_\odot$ the SF rate should amount to $10^2 \dots 10^3 M_\odot/\text{yr}$ what is by orders of magnitudes higher than observed! This means that the SF timescale must be reduced with respect to collapse or dynamical timescale by introducing an efficiency ϵ_{SF} and its definition could read: $\tau_{\text{SF}} = \epsilon_{\text{SF}}^{-1} \cdot \tau_{\text{ff}}$. Nevertheless, Li, MacLow, & Klessen (2005) also obtained a Kennicutt law from numerical models of gravitationally unstable clouds slightly steeper than $n=1.4$.

Since this Schmidt-Kennicutt law is derived for gravitationally settled gas disks in rotational equilibrium, it should also reflect an equilibrium state of a self-gravitating vertically stratified ISM balanced by heating and cooling. It is therefore not surprising, that the exponent is larger for starburst galaxies, i.e. if the SF is e.g. triggered by dynamical processes, than in a quiescent mode so that the SF efficiency is increased. This also explains why high- z galaxies reveal a steeper relation with an exponent $n = 1.7 \pm 0.05$ (Bournaud, Elmegreen, & Elmegreen (2007)), i.e. at stages when the gaseous disks form preferably by gas infall.

Reasonably, one would expect that the Kennicutt relation can only be reproduced in self-regulated disk models, i.e. in those where energy feedback by stars determines the energy budget of the ISM. Kravtsov (2003) identified in his cosmological simulations disk galaxies and analyzed them at different high redshifts. His model parameters spread in Σ_g over the large range observed and the model values scatter around the Kennicutt slope of Σ_{SF} with Σ_g . However, surprisingly, also those models without any energetic feedback by supernovae (SNe) do not differ from those others with. Similar conclusion can be drawn from the simulations by Schaye & Dalla Vecchia (2008) who neglect stellar feedback processes playing with parameters such as SF efficiency, critical SF mass, etc.

It is therefore still a matter of debate whether the Kennicutt-like dependence of the surface SF rate is really a result of self-regulated SF in gas disk or if any dependences of self-regulation processes on the physical gas state cancel out so that the relation is a global one.

For modelling the formation and evolution of galactic disks however the column density is meaningless in yet dynamically developing systems. It is therefore necessary as long as the disk has not achieved its equilibrium state of the gas and from the physical standpoint reasonable, to link the SF rate to the local state within a volume. (By the way, the same should hold for the modelling of gaseous dwarf galaxies (DGs) which are always in the state of re-arranging their gas structure because of the low gravitational potential.) This, however, means that the SF rate in a gas volume $\partial\rho_{SF}/\partial t$ should be connected to the gas volume density ρ_g with a power-law to the m . But is the value of this m ? Rana & Wilkinson (1986) found a wide range of $m = 1 \dots 4$. Similarly to the above derivation of the Kennicutt exponent one can formulate

$$\frac{\partial\rho_{SF}}{\partial t} = \frac{\rho_g}{\tau_{SF}} \propto \frac{\rho_g}{\rho_g^{0.5}} \propto \rho_g^{1.5} \quad (2.3)$$

The proportionality again includes the SF efficiency. Such a 1.5 power-law was already applied for the derived reason in the first gasdynamical simulations of galaxy evolution by Larson (1969 and in the 70's) and Burkert & Hensler (1987, 1988). Under the assumption of triggered SF due to cloud-cloud collisions a square dependence on ρ_g should apply. In a simple picture, moreover, the cloud collision rate depends not only on the cloud number density but also on the clouds' velocity dispersion and can by this directly affect the SF rate as a positive energetic feedback. Since dissipative collisions, however, lead to a heating of the cloudy material, and if the SF rate depends on the temperature of the cloudy ISM component, also a negative feedback is implied. Therefore, a competition between collisional heating and gas cooling will determine the sign of the SF feedback on energy and thus depends on the state and composition (metallicity, dust content, molecules, etc.) of the ISM.

Concerning the balance between direct stellar energy release to the ISM and its collisionally-excited radiative cooling Köppen, Theis, & Hensler (1995) found that for the star-forming site itself self-regulation sets the dependence of the SF rate on ρ_g^2 . In addition, the production of a SN-heated hot gas phase and its interaction with the cool gas by heat

conduction leads to a limit-cycle SF rate around a characteristic temperature due to the competition of evaporation and condensation (Köppen, Theis & Hensler 1998).

Consequently, the energy release and its efficiencies to transfer in into dynamics and thermalisation are of evident importance. If their description and parametrization as well as a Schmidt-dependent SF rate, respectively, are treated properly in numerical simulations, i.e. close to real conditions, a Kennicutt dependence for a gaseous galactic disk should be inherently reproduced.

2.2. *Stellar energy release and its transfer efficiency*

Because of their high power of released energies in various forms massive stars are usually taken into account as the only heating sources for the ISM. Moreover, a large fraction of simulations focusses the energy deposit on SN typeII explosions alone. It must be discussed, however, how effective this energy is transferred into the ISM as turbulent and consequently as thermal energy and an even more sophisticated question must be expressed on the influence, transport and dissipation by Cosmic Rays and magnetic fields.

The same must be considered for the energy release of massive stars as radiation-driven and wind-blown HII regions. Analytical estimates for purely radiative HII regions yielded an energy transfer efficiency ϵ of the order of a few percent (Lasker 1967). Although the additional stellar wind power L_w can be easily evaluated from models and observations, its fraction that is transferred into thermal energy (ϵ_{th}) or into turbulent energy is not obvious from first principles. Transfer efficiencies for both radiative and kinetic energies remain much lower than analytically derived from detailed numerical simulations (more than one order of magnitude) and amount to only a few per mil (Hensler 2007 and references therein; Hensler et al. 2009, in preparation). Surprisingly, there is almost no dependence on the stellar mass (Hensler 2007) as expected because the energy impact by Lyman continuum radiation and by wind luminosity both increase non-linearly with stellar mass. Vice versa, since the gas compression is stronger by a more energetic wind, plausibly, also the energy loss by radiation is more efficient.

Another matter of fact is the energization of the ISM by SNe. Although it is generally assumed that the explosive energy lies around 10^{51} ergs with an uncertainty (or intrinsic scatter) of probably one order of magnitude, SNeII do not explode as isolated and single events in the ISM. Almost without any exception massive stars do not disperse from the SF site and thus explode within the stellar associations. Massive stars contribute significantly to this formation of structures such as e.g. cavities, holes, and chimneys in the HI gas and superbubbles of hot gas (Recchi & Hensler 2006a). On large scales the energy release by massive stars triggers the matter circulations via galactic outflows from a gaseous disk and galactic winds. By this, also the chemical evolution is affected thru the loss of metal-enriched gas from a galaxy (see e.g. Recchi & Hensler 2006b).

SN explosions as an immediate consequence of SF stir up the ISM by the expansion of hot bubbles, deposit turbulent energy into the ISM and, thereby, regulate the SF again (Hensler & Rieschick 2002). This negative energy feedback is enhanced at low gravitation because the SN energy exceeds easily the galactic binding energy and drives a galactic wind. This low gravitation also exists in vertical direction of a rotationally supported disk. Vice versa, SN and stellar wind-driven bubbles sweep up surrounding gas and can, by this, excite SF self-propagation as a positive feedback mechanism (e.g. Ehlerova et al. 1997, Fukuda & Hanawa 2000).

Since the numerical treatment of the galactic chemo-dynamical evolution, even when it deals with two gas phases, cannot spatially resolve the ISM sufficiently, for a detailed consideration of small-scale processes the chemo-dynamical modeling has to apply parametrizations of plasmaphysical processes. It should, however, be repeatedly empha-

sized that an appropriate chemo-dynamical description cannot vary those parameters arbitrarily, because they rely on results from theoretical, numerical, and/or empirical studies and are by this strongly constrained. This has been described and applied to different levels of chemo-dynamical models (for an overview see Hensler 2003 and references therein, and more recently Hensler, Theis & Gallagher 2004a; Harfst, Theis & Hensler (2006)).

Although investigations have been performed for ϵ of SNe (Thornton et al. 1998) and superbubbles (e.g. Strickland et al. 2004) they are yet too simplistic for quantitative results and the 10% efficiency derived by Thornton et al. is by far too large. Although numerical experiments of superbubbles and galactic winds in galaxies are performed, yet they only demonstrate the destructive effect on the surrounding ISM but lack of self-consistency and complexity.

Simulations of the chemical evolution of starburst DGs by Recchi et al. (2006) that are dedicated to reproduce the peculiar abundance patterns in these galaxies by different SF episodes found that ϵ can vary widely. A superbubble which acts against the surrounding medium is cooling due to its pressure work and radiation but compresses the swept-up shell material and implies significant turbulent energy to the ISM. If a closely following SF episode (might be another burst) pushes its explosive SNeII into the already formed chimney, the hot gas can easily escape without a hindrance. Recchi & Hensler 2006a found that depending on the external HI density the chimneys do not close before a few hundred Myrs.

2.3. Gas Infall

Formation and evolution of galactic disks cannot be considered in isolation. As confirmed from observations but also requested in the Λ CDM framework galaxies are growing during their evolution by acquiring surrounding gas continuously. Particularly, during the early formation phases it remains, however, still unclear how this process works. Proposed are cold and hot accretion (see e.g. Keres et al. 2005, Wise & Abel 2007), both dependent on mass and providing different inherent timescales of the galaxy growth, but no clue exists yet which one dominates.

In the local Universe several disk galaxies are enveloped by huge HI halos and by refined velocity-position maps cold accretion in the form of HI clouds with masses of about $10^7 M_\odot$ is manifested (Fraternali et al. 2002; Fraternali, this volume, and e.g. Sancisi et al. 2008). Since the infall rate determined by the detectable clouds fail to support the SF rate in those galaxies by almost one order of magnitude, it must be concluded that most of the infall happens by less massive clouds perhaps like those observed in our Milky Way.

Although the element abundance properties of most Blue Compact DGs (BCDs) favour only a young stellar population of at most 1-2 Gyrs, they mostly consist of an underlying old population. Furthermore, also most of these objects are embedded into HI envelopes from which at least NGC 1569 definitely suffers gas infall (Stil & Isreal 2002, Mühle et al. 2005). This has lead Köppen & Hensler (2005) to exploit the influence of gas infall with metal-poor gas into an old galaxy with continuous SF on particular abundance patterns. Their results could match not only the observational regime of BCDs in the $[12+\log(\text{O}/\text{H}) - \log(\text{N}/\text{O})]$ space but also explain the shark-fin shape of the data distribution. Knauth et al. (2006) found also peculiar N/O abundance ratios in the galactic ISM that could also be explained by the infall scenario of Köppen & Hensler (2005).

The accumulated explosion of massive stars lead to the formation of a superbubble which expands out of the gaseous disk, preferentially along the steepest density gradient. In low-density environments when the thermal energy does not exert too much PdV work or loose significant radiative energy, this expansion feeds the galactic halo with

hot gas. On the other hand, HI observations confirmed since a long time that high- and ultra-high velocity clouds of extragalactic origin fall towards the Galactic disk demonstrating that the halo consists of a multi-phase ISM (Wolfire et al. 1995). Interstellar clouds with relative motion to surrounding gas are deformed and stretched by the ram pressure. Heyer et al. (1996) exhibited the cometary deformation of clouds exposed to the outflow of hot gas in the SF region W4. Infalling clouds are not only influenced by a drag force of the hot halo gas because of its relative velocity and by this decelerated (Benjamin & Danly 1997), also the thermal interaction between the gas phases by means of heat conduction affects their survival (Vieser & Hensler 2007). Due to their possible destruction and gas dispersion in hot environment, it is in general far from being clear how much of the infalling high-velocity clouds really reach the gaseous disk, feed the SF and excite turbulence, neither in the early galaxy growth phase nor during the present evolution.

2.4. *Galactic Winds*

Some disk galaxies like e.g. NGC 253 and M82 not only supply hot halo gas but, moreover, can drive a galactic wind. This scenario can be observed in various types of galaxies and in its different evolutionary stages. In the Galactic disk cavities and chimneys become visible in HI and in external galaxies HI holes appear. In DGs superbubbles originate from super star clusters (SSCs) are observable by closed H α loops and in X-rays still confined to the galaxy like in NGC 1705 (see e.g. Hensler et al. 1998). NGC 1569 is a most interesting object: not only that gas infall occurs and probably triggers the present starburst (Mühle et al. 2005) but also a strong galactic wind exists. An analysis of the wind revealed a metal content around only solar to 2 times solar, i.e. much lower than expected from the yields by massive stars (Martin, Kobulnicki & Heckman 2002). Since this low value requires a dilution with low-metallicity gas by a factor of about 10, a turbulent mixing alone in the shells of the expanding superbubble with the low-Z ISM in NGC 1569 seems insufficient. Most plausible is that gas clouds falling in from the envelopping HI reservoir are evaporated and by this mass-loading the galactic wind.

2.5. *Structural issues*

In summary of the above mentioned observationally facts one has to be aware that

- the multi-phase structure of the ISM is co-existent in any galactic regions,
- the modes of SF and its positive or negative energetic feedback depend on the small-scale physics of the ISM,
- the reliability and significance of simple single-phase hydrodynamical models even with feedback are highly questionable.

3. Numerical Methods

3.1. *Star-formation prescriptions*

There are striking efforts to model the ISM on small scales in star-forming clouds in order to achieve a deeper insight into the mechanisms controlling SF (see e.g. Ostriker, Stone, & Gammie 2001, MacLow & Klessen 2004, Li, MacLow, & Klessen 2005, Krumholz & McKee 2005). Numerical simulations on intermediate scales must already prescribe SF by some reasonable recipes. Numerous papers (see e.g. Wada & Norman 1999, de Avillez & Breitschwerdt 2004, Slyz et al. 2005, Tasker & Bryan 2008) have implemented SF criteria such as e.g. threshold density ρ_{SF} with SF if $\rho_{\text{g}} \geq \rho_{\text{SF}}$, excess mass in a specified volume with respect to the Jeans mass, i.e. $M_{\text{g}} \geq M_{\text{J}}$, convergence of gas flows ($\text{div} \cdot \mathbf{v} < 0$), cooling timescale $t_{\text{cool}} \leq t_{\text{dyn}}$, temperature limits $T_{\text{g}} \leq T_{\text{lim}}$, Toomre's Q parameter, and/or temperature

dependent SF rate. If at least some of these conditions are fulfilled, as a further step the gas mass which is converted into stars, i.e. the SF efficiency, has to be set: fixing an empirical value ϵ_{SF} from observations, i.e. $\Delta m_{\text{SF}} = \epsilon_{\text{SF}} \cdot \rho_{\text{g}} \cdot \Delta x^3$, where Δx^3 is the mesh volume in a grid code. If the numerical timestep Δt is smaller than the dynamical timestep τ_{ff} , Δm_{SF} has to be weighted by their time ratio (Tasker & Bryan 2008). Since ϵ_{SF} must inherently depend on the local conditions so that it is high in bursting SF modes, as requested for the Globular Cluster formation, but of percentage level in the self-regulated SF mode, numerical simulations often try to derive the realistic SF efficiency by comparing models of largely different ϵ_{SF} with observations (see e.g. Tasker & Bryan 2008: $\epsilon_{\text{SF}} = 0.05$ and 0.5).

While in many numerical treatments as the simplest prescription the Kennicutt law (Schaye & Dalla Vecchia 2008) is directly applied to the SF rate, another approach is the trial based in eq.(2.3) like

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\text{SF}}}{\partial t} = \alpha G^{1/2} \rho_{\text{g}}^{3/2} \quad (3.1)$$

and to express α using the Kennicutt law again and applying an exponential disk with scaleheight Z_0 by

$$\alpha = 1.96 \cdot G^{-1/2} \frac{\Sigma_{\text{SF}}}{\Sigma_{\text{g}}^{3/2}} Z_0^{1/2} \quad (3.2)$$

But unreasonably, this is even applied to interacting galaxies (Springel 2000) where the application of both the Kennicutt law as well as the usual definition of the scaleheight, respectively, is highly questionable and to my opinion fails.

Theoretical studies by Elmegreen & Efremov (1997) achieved a dependence of ϵ_{SF} on the external pressure, while Köppen, Theis, & Hensler (1995) explored a temperature dependence of the SF rate.

3.2. Smooth particle hydrodynamics

Because of its simple numerical treatment and its inherent 3D representation *Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics* (SPH) is at present the most widely applied numerical strategy for simulations of cosmological structure formation, galaxy formation, galaxy collisions and mergers, and further more. Because of its particle character SPH simulations allow easily the simultaneous treatment of the gas and the stellar component. The SPH subdivides the gaseous component in gas packages of sizes that represent the continuum character of diffuse gas, i.e. by large spherical extents of the SPH particles formulated by a kernel function W_{ij} so that the mass density at particle i is given thru their neighbouring particles j with mass m_j by

$$\bar{\rho}_i = \sum_{j=1}^N m_j \cdot W_{ij}.$$

Although SPH is a quite powerful formalism for 3D problems, it has several drawbacks: 1) On the one hand, representing gas phases which change their scalelengths by orders of magnitude within a short range, i.e. adjacent hot and cool particles, is impossible. Unfortunately, there are many authors who are misled by its applicability. 2) Ad hoc assumptions on SF and feedback are necessary. As an example: over which range, i.e. over how many adjacent particles has the SNII energy feedback to be taken into account? If the mass and spatial resolution of SPH particles is insufficient, one cannot avoid that the mean thermal energy of a gas particle does not increase due to SNeII, but remains at about 10^4 K due to the efficient cooling. This coarse treatment is therefore insensitive to self-regulation, and, by this not accounting for a hot gas phase. 3) Multi-fluid descriptions

are almost impossible. If one decouples two kinds of particles totally, they can hardly experience the neighbourhood of each other. Or one allows for different states but one kind of particle so that each particle acts to its adjacent neighbour as a continuous fluid.

Since these weaknesses, which are mostly caused by the single gas-phase description and the lack of interaction processes, have been recognized by many modellers, mainly also in the field of cosmological simulations, numerous attempts to overcome them have been performed, developing various strategies for the treatment of a multi-phase ISM. Since GADGET is probably the most widely distributed and applied publicly available SPH code (Springel 2000, Springel, Yoshida, & White 2001) let us consider preferably its application to disk galaxies and its advancements.

Dalla Vecchia & Schaye (2008) applied GADGET to study the impact of SN feedback on galactic disks in order to drive a galactic wind and vice versa the effect of the wind strength on the SF. This latter is described by the Kennicutt law combined with a density threshold. For massive galaxies with DM mass of $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ the mass resolution of gas particles reaches down to $5 \cdot 10^4 M_{\odot}$. The SNII energy is deposited as kinetic wind energy and wind particles are stochastically selected among neighbouring particles. In some models these are hydrodynamically coupled with the normal gas particles. As expected, the models showed that the galactic winds are stronger for larger energy release and, on the other hand, reduce the SF rate, but in a not self-consistent manner because neither cold-gas infall nor SF trigger are included. In addition, in models with wind the SF rates decrease by about a factor of two already within 0.5 Gyrs, what is much too short for derived SF timescales of disk galaxies.

Another recent development by Scannapieco et al. (2006) uses a very sophisticated differentiation between the SPH particles into cold and hot ones but treats them still adequately except that particles with different thermodynamic variables (cold vs. hot) do not feel each other. The recipe to allow for SNII energy to be distributed into the cold and hot particles but not cooled away in the cold ones is the possibility of a so-called *promotion* of cold particles according to the state of their hot neighbors. Scannapieco et al. (2006) claim that even mass-loaded galactic winds can develop in these models. Nevertheless, SF criteria and efficiency as well as the distribution of the SN energy are freely parametrized and adapted to galaxies in the local Universe observed in equilibrium states.

In order to describe the different ISM phases at least by two also differently treated particles, Semelin & Combes (2002) introduced four different particle species: warm gas as SPH particles, stars and DM as collisionless particles, and the cold gas by means of sticky-particles. Mass exchange between the cold and warm gas is limited by the transformation by means of heating due to SN feedback vs. cooling. The cool particles are not intended to represent a diffuse gas distribution but instead the clumpiness of the cloudy ISM component and thus subject to individual cloud-cloud collisions with only partial inelasticity.

Further mass transfer between the components is allowed by SF and stellar mass loss. While the SF rate is described by a Schmidt law of the cool gas volume density with a power of 1 to 1.5, the mass fraction of formed stars is at first trapped within the cool gas particle. Not before a sufficient amount of stars exists in a specified number of neighbouring particles these stellar masses are subtracted from the gas particle and collected to a new pure stellar particle. Semelin & Combes (2002) applied an advanced procedure to keep the particle number almost constant. Further mass exchange is achieved by the stellar mass return according to the stellar aging and death rate. The particles are numerically treated by the so-called TREE algorithm (Barnes & Hut 1986).

Although this approach looks well justified and explored, the figure presentation of the best model over 2 Gyrs in Semelin & Combes (2002) shows that it obviously suffers from

an unrealistically high SF rate. The gas is consumed to 20% within this short time and the SF rate has dropped from almost $100 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ to less than 5. The corresponding e-folding timescale of SF amounts to only less than 0.5 Gyrs what stands in contradiction to the observationally derived ones e.g. in the Milky Way.

This model behaviour of a too intensive SF might have different reasons: no dynamical, energetical and materialistic interactions are implied into the numerical treatment. Dynamically, the drag exerted by hot gas flow against cool clouds is not sufficiently represented by only the thermal pressure gradient built up by the local SN energy release and driving the expansion of hot gas. From the energetical point of view, heat conduction transfers energy from the hot to the cool clouds and, by this, regulates the SF (Köppen, Theis & Hensler 1998). In addition, this heat conduction also can lead to partial evaporation of clouds and thus reduce the clouds mass reservoir available for SF.

Surprisingly, even recently the formation of exponential disks has been modelled by Bournaud, Elmegreen, & Elmegreen (2007) applying another speciality of algorithms, a particle-mesh + sticky-particle scheme. Although they dealt with the enormous number of one million particles for DM, gas and stars, their limitation to a single gas phase without any stellar feedback are much too severe to allow for reliable results, in particular, with respect to the aimed issues of this exploitation. The author aimed at understanding the evolution of clump-clusters and chain galaxies by fragmentation of gaseous disks in gravitational unstable clumps. The reasoning for this neglect that the feedback from SF "is not well known" and that the clump masses lie much above the influential range of SN energy is misleading as far as it has not been tested and proven that the coupling of energetic processes between scales is really inefficient.

All the above mentioned additional processes, acting among the gas phases and, by this, coupling them even on different scales are additionally incorporated in the SPH/sticky particle treatment by Harfst, Theis & Hensler (2006). Moreover, in their model the cloudy particles show an inherent mass distribution according to their stickiness and SF can happen in a "normal" mode, but also induced by cloud collisions. In addition, to the temperature criterion by Köppen, Theis, & Hensler (1995) the efficiency by Elmegreen & Efremov (1997) steers the SF. As a result Harfst, Theis & Hensler (2006) demonstrated a stabilized rotating disk with moderate SF over 2 Gyrs, starting with a present-day realistic value of $3 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ for a gaseous disk and dropping off only by a factor of 4, what means a SF timescale of more than 1 Gyr. As argued by them, this decline in the SF rate is caused by a lack of external gas that would be allowed to fall in as it happens to the Milky Way and other disk galaxies and to keep on the SF. As a proxy, also the validity of the Kennicutt law could be almost represented but with a slope of -1.5.

The model treatment allows the mass release by massive and intermediate-mass stars, the latter as Planetary Nebulae (PNe), while the energy feedback is only attributed to SNeII by 20% of the normally used SNII energy of 10^{51} ergs. While until now both last mentioned numerical treatments do not include type Ia SNe, Semelin & Combes (2002) also trace the metal enrichment according to the stellar ejecta as a simple generalized Z, but Harfst, Theis & Hensler (2006) do not yet although their prescription would allow for the differential treatment of abundance yields.

This task has been performed until now only in a further advanced development by Berczik et al. (2003), Berczik et al. (2009) that is based on a single gas-phase SPH description by Berczik (1999). The treatment is almost the same as in Harfst, Theis & Hensler (2006), except that here the cool gas phase is also treated by SPH particles. This strategy can, however, be justified by the still large masses of the gas packages of $10^5 M_{\odot}$ at minimum i.e. in the range of Giant Molecular Clouds (GMCs) instead of small SF clumps.

Therefore, also the cool gas can be considered as continuous. The gas phases can behave dynamically independently and are only coupled by drag and mass loading by means of heat conduction. For the SF the Jeans mass criterion is used again

The most important advancement is the chemo-dynamical treatment which includes elements released by different progenitor stars as PNe, SNeIa, SNeII, and stellar winds and what enables one to trace the galactic evolutionary epochs.

3.3. *Grid codes*

The advantages of particle code due to the easy numerical handling and the simple inspection is bought by the drawbacks of complication with the multi-phase treatment of the ISM, the poor spatial resolution and with necessary physical processes acting and featuring the gas on various length scales. For these purposes, numerical codes based on spatial grids are at the moment more adaptable to resolution and more appropriate for the physics. Nevertheless, their full 3D treatment suffers severely from spatial resolution. Because of the numerical costs, moreover until now only a few codes exist which treat at least two separate gas phases. Another serious problem is the treatment of stars. Two possibilities exist: to incorporate the stellar component into the general fluid description or to handle a hybrid code that switches between the hydrodynamics on the grid to stellar dynamics.

Although many papers denote their published numerical applications as multi-phase gasdynamics (e.g. Wada & Norman 1999, Wada & Norman 2001), the simulations in reality only deal with a single gas fluid and account for a range of gas temperatures and densities. Slyz et al. 2005 studied the various effects of SF, stellar feedback, self-gravity, and spatial resolution of the evolution of the ISM structure in gaseous disks. The main conclusions which can be drawn are that without SF the structure remains more diffuse than with and that with SF stellar feedback is crucial, although at high spatial resolution the SF within grid cells inherently loses its coherence. The same is still continued in the, at present, spatially best resolved (magneto-)hydrodynamical simulations of the ISM by de Avillez & Breitschwerdt 2004 (and more recent papers).

Nevertheless, also in such grid simulations criteria of SF within a grid cell such as e.g. excess of a density thresholds, excess of Jeans mass, convergence of flows, cooling timescale lower than dynamical one, fall below threshold temperature (see e.g. Tasker & Bryan 2008) have to be applied. Furthermore, at present all these applications lack of the direct interactions of different gas phases by heat conduction, dynamical drag, and dynamical instabilities thru forming interfaces, but the most refined ones allow for resolving the turbulence cascade.

In addition, such mixing effects at interfaces between gas phases and due to turbulence (like e.g. those in the combined SWB/HII complexes) contribute to the observations by the enhancement or, respectively, dilution of metal abundances. Hydrodynamical grid models have therefore been performed that implied the released elements from nucleosynthesis in stellar progenitors of different masses and therefore also of stellar lifetimes, so-called yields. Since also the metal-dependence of astrophysical and plasmaphysical processes should be properly included in this so-called chemo-dynamics, the combined effects of gasdynamics and chemistry can be traced.

If the gasdynamics, including SF and stellar feedback, is coupled with the chemical evolution single-gas phase simulations in 1D are, however, misleading. The reasons are that, at first, hot metal-enriched gas is mixed with cooler gas and, secondly, the limitations to one dimension does not allow for an escape of hot gas due to 2D shape effects. As a simple exercise, one can mix 10^4 K warm gas with the released SNII explosions according to a SF efficiency of a few percent what means that less than 1% of hot gas

is contributed to the specific energy and momentum of the warm gas phase. This results in two effects: the gas temperature does not exceed 10^5 K and thus cools down almost instantaneously to 10^4 K again, i.e. the gas remains in its warm state; the gas-dynamics of the warm gas dominate until the hot gas contributes a significant fraction to the total energy density and the gas is already diluted by gas consumption so that the cooling is extended while the gas pressure drives an expansion. Models of that kind (Pipino, D’Ercole, & Matteucci 2008) should be considered with caution unless two independent gas phases are treated and/or this at least in 2D.

Since energetic effects affect the evolution of low-mass galaxies more efficiently than massive galaxies, their application to DGs is more spectacular and has been performed with a single gas-phase representation of the ISM but in 2D (e.g. by Recchi & Hensler 2006b) in order to reproduce the peculiar element abundances in Blue Compact DGs (Recchi et al. 2006b). Since gas infall is not only affecting the chemistry but also SF (Hensler et al. 2004b) and dynamics of outflows we have extended the former models by infalling clouds (Recchi & Hensler 2007) which are set into the numerical grid not self-consistently as density enhancement. In these models, two kinds of cloud contributions are considered, only initially existing and continuously formed, respectively. The issues are the following: due to dynamical processes and thermal evaporation, the clouds survive only a few tens of Myr. The internal energy of cloudy models is typically reduced by 20 – 40 % compared to models with a smooth density distribution. The clouds delay the development of large-scale outflows, helping therefore in retaining a larger amount of gas inside the galaxy. However, especially in models with continuous creation of infalling clouds, their ram pressure pierce the expanding supershells so that through these holes freshly produced metals can more easily escape and vent into the galactic wind. Moreover, assuming for the clouds a pristine chemical composition, their interaction with the superbubble dilute the hot gas, reducing its metal content. The resulting final metallicity is therefore, in general, smaller (by $\sim 0.2 - 0.4$ dex) than the one attained by smooth models.

Starting from simple 2D HD models with metallicity inclusion (Burkert & Hensler 1987, 1988) we developed a two-gas phase chemo-dynamical prescription which was at first applied as 1D version to the vertical formation of the Galactic disk (Burkert, Truran, & Hensler 1992). The metallicity was treated as a general Z without differentiation of particular elements between their stellar progenitors but with various production timescales. The model could successfully produce vertical stellar density and metallicity distribution while also providing the formation timescales of thin and thick disk components.

This chemo-dynamical scheme was further extended by us to 2D and to specific elements characteristic for different stellar mass progenitors and production lifetimes. This chemo-dynamical treatment includes metal-dependent stellar yields and winds, SNeIa, SNeII, PNe, metal-dependent cooling functions and heat conduction. The gas phases are described by the Eulerian hydrodynamical equations, co-existing in every grid cell in pressure equilibrium, whereby the cool component as representative of interstellar clouds is described with anisotropic velocity components derived from the Boltzmann moment equations with source and sink terms from cloud collisions. The SF is parametrized as explored in Köppen, Theis, & Hensler (1995) to guarantee self-regulation.

The application of the chemo-dynamical code to the evolution of disk galaxies (Samland & Hensler 1996) could successfully show for the Milky Way (Samland, Hensler & Theis 1997) how and on which timescale the components halo, bulge, and disk formed and how the abundances developed, could represent the temporal evolution of gas abundances, of the radial stellar abundance gradients, of the abundance ratios, and of star-to-gas ratios. This also showed how many metals are stored in the hot gas phase and mixed with the cool one by evaporation of clouds and condensation.

Up to now as the optimal grid code one can consider the further development of the chemo-dynamical scheme (Samland, Hensler & Theis 1997) to 3D and with the stellar dynamics for the stars. In addition, a cosmologically growing DM halo is included into the simulations by Samland & Gerhard (2003). These models contain all the crucial processes of SF self-regulation by stellar feedback, multi-phase ISM, and temporally resolved stellar components according to the chemo-dynamical prescription (Hensler 2003, 2007). They cannot only trace the formation and evolution of the disk galaxies' components but also of characteristic chemical abundances and are until now the best self-consistent evolutionary models of disk galaxies because the disk formation is included into the global temporal galaxy evolution. Moreover, the formation and evolution of disk galaxies in their infancy were studied by these self-consistent simulations with respect to bulge formation and disk fragmentation (Immeli et al. 2004).

4. Discussion

While SPH codes appear plausibly to be the most appropriate numerical treatment of galaxy evolution including gaseous disks, it must be considered with caution that for the multi-component representation with interaction processes the particle number is still at present limited to a few 10000, what means that gas packages and stellar particles in massive galaxies represent unrealistically large masses. Only for DGs this effort leads to acceptable resolutions.

I am here not advocating against particle codes and I am optimistic, that advancements in computer facilities and numerical codes will soon allow to overcome the spatial resolution problem and allow for these multi-component descriptions. Nevertheless, at the moment grid strategies look much more promising because small-scale processes are easier and more reliably to be coupled with large-scale dynamics. In addition, physical processes can be treated more appropriately. This also allows an outlook if the self-consistent global models are further developed to include magnetic fields, radiative and Cosmic-ray transport.

A word of care should be expressed with respect to empirical relations. That agreements with the Kennicutt relation exist of simulations, which are totally differing in the treatment of feedback processes, while the $H\alpha$ surface brightness relation deviate from the Kennicutt slope of 1.4 with atomic HI gas, molecular gas, and for different modes of SF, any representation of this relation by any modelling of galaxy evolution seems to be too weak to validate any numerical treatment. Like this also metallicity gradients and age-metallicity relations in galaxy disks seem not to serve as proxies for the validation of numerical codes. This means, on the other hand, that issues of such relations and the request to disentangle inherent evolutionary processes are overestimated and that these relations are robust and insensitive to the intrinsic state of galaxies.

Furthermore, the presented models show that it seems illusory to try to correlate SF with gas densities if feedback processes are neglected.

We are still far from fully self-consistent models that allow for the inclusion of necessary astrophysical and plasmaphysical processes on all length and time scales requested. Growing computer facilities will allow soon for 3D AMR simulations but not only refined spatial and temporal resolution is the clue, also coherence on different scales as necessary for SF, turbulence, magnetic fields, etc. are requesting intuition, brain waves, and a large fraction of hard code developing and testing.

Acknowledgements

The author is gratefully acknowledging collaborations and discussions on this topic

with Peter Berczik, Dieter Breitschwerdt, Stefan Harfst, Stefan Hirche, Joachim Köppen, Simone Recchi, Andreas Rieschick, Markus Samland, Rainer Spurzem, Christian Theis, Wolfgang Vieser, and Hervé Wozniak. I also thank Simone Recchi for his careful reading of the manuscript and the organizers cordially for their invitation to this conference and their support. This work is supported by the key programme "Computational Sciences" of the University of Vienna under project no. FS538001.

References

- Barnes, J., & Hut, P. *Nature*, 324, 446
- Benjamin, R., & Danly, L. 1997, *ApJ*, 481, 764
- Berczik P., 1999, *A&A*, 348, 371
- Berczik, P., Hensler, G., Theis, C., & Spurzem, R. 2003, *Ap&SS*, 284, 865
- Berczik, P., Hensler, G., Theis, C., et al. 2009, *A&A*, submitted
- Böhringer, H., & Hensler, G. 1989, *A&A*, 215, 147
- Bouche, N., Cresci, G., Davies, R., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 671, 303
- Bournaud, F., Elmegreen, B.G., & Elmegreen, D.M. 2007, *ApJ*, 670, 237
- Burkert, A., & Hensler, G. 1987, *MNRAS*, 225, 21p
- Burkert, A., & Hensler, G. 1988, *A&A*, 199, 131
- Burkert, A., Truran, J., & Hensler, G. 1992, *ApJ*, 391, 651
- Cox, D.P., 2005, *ARAA*, 43, 337
- de Avillez, M.A., & Breitschwerdt, D. 2004, *A&A*, 425, 899
- Dalla Vecchia, C., & Schaye, J. 2008, *MNRAS*, 387, 1431
- Ehlerova, S., Palous, J., Theis, C., & Hensler, G. 1997, *A&A*, 328, 111
- 1997, *ApJ*, 481
- Elmegreen, B.G. 2002, *ApJ*, 577, 206
- Elmegreen, B.G., & Efremov, Y.N. 1997, *ApJ*, 480, 235
- Ferrière, K.M., 2001, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 73, 1031
- Fraternali, P., van Moorsel, G., Sancisi, R., & Oosterloo, T. *AJ*, 123, 3124
- Freyer, T., Hensler, G., & Yorke, H.W., 2003, *ApJ*, 594, 888
- Freyer, T., Hensler, G., & Yorke, H.W., 2006, *ApJ*, 638, 262
- Fukuda, N., & Hanawa, T. 2000, *ApJ*, 533, 911
- Gao, Y. & Solomon, P.M., 2004, *A&A*, 426, 271
- Harfst, S., Theis, C., & Hensler, G. 2006, *A&A*, 499, 509
- Hensler, G. 2003, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol.*, 304, eds. C. Charbonnel et al., p. 371
- Hensler, G. 2007, *EAS Publ. Ser. Vol.*, 24, eds. E. Ensellem et al., p. 113
- Hensler, G., Dickow, R., Junkes, N., & Gallagher, J.S., III. 1998, *ApJ*, 502, L17
- Hensler, G., Kppen, J., Pflamm, J., & Rieschick, A. 2004, IAU Symp. Ser. 217, eds. P.-A. Duc, J. Braine, E. Brinks, p. 178
- Hensler, G., & Rieschick, A. 2002, *ASP-CS*, 285, 341
- Hensler, G., Theis, Ch., & Gallagher, J.S., III. 2004, *A&A*, 426, 25
- Heyer, M.H., Brunt, C., Snell, R.L., et al. 1996, *ApJ*, 464, L175
- Heyer, M.H., Corbell, E., Schneider, S.E., & Young, J.S., 2004, *ApJ*, 602, 723
- Immeli, A., Samland, M., Gerhard, O., & Westera, P. 2004, *A&A*, 413, 547
- Kennicutt, R.J. 1998, *ApJ*, 498, 541
- Keres, D., Katz, N., Weiberg, D.H., & Dave, 2005, *MNRAS*, 363, 2
- Knauth, D.C., Meyer, D.M., & Lauroesch, J.T. 2006, *ApJ*, 647, L115
- Köppen, J., & Hensler, G. 2005, *A&A*, 434, 531
- Köppen, J., Theis, C., & Hensler, G. 1995, *A&A*, 296, 99
- Köppen, J., Theis, C., & Hensler, G. 1998, *A&A*, 328, 121
- Krumholz, M.R., & McKee, C.F. 2005 *ApJ*, 630, 250
- Kravtsov, A.V. 2003, *ApJ*, 590, L1
- Larson, R.B. 1969, *MNRAS*, 145, 405
- Larson, R.B. 1974, *MNRAS*, 169, 229

- Larson, R.B. 1975, *MNRAS*, 173, 671
Larson, R.B. 1976, *MNRAS*, 176, 31
Lasker, B.M. 1967, *ApJ*, 149, 23
Li, Y., MacLow, M.-M., & Klessen, R.S. 2005, *ApJ*, 626, 823
MacLow, M.-M. & Klessen, R.S. 2004, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 76, 125
Martin, C.L., Kobulnicki, H.A., & Heckman, T.M. 2002, *ApJ*, 574, 663
Mühle, S., Klein, U., Wilcots, E. M., & Hüttermeister, S. 2005, *AJ*, 130, 524
Ostriker, E., Stone, J.M., & Gammie, C.F. 2001, *ApJ*, 546, 980
Pipino, A., D'Ercole, A., & Matteucci, F. 2008, *A&A*, 484, 679
Rana, N.C., & Wilkinson, D.A. 1986, *MNRAS*, 218, 497
Recchi, S., & Hensler, G. 2006a, *A&A*, 445, L39
Recchi, S., & Hensler, G. 2006b, *Rev. Mod. Astronomy*, 18, 164
Recchi, S., & Hensler, G. 2007, *A&A*, 476, 841
Recchi, S., Hensler, G., Angeretti, L., & Matteucci, F. 2006, *A&A*, 445, 875
Rieschick, A., & Hensler, G. 2000, *ASP-CS*, 215, 130
Samland, M., & Hensler, G. 1996, *Rev. Mod. Astron.*, 9, 277
Samland, M., Hensler, G., & Theis, C. 1997, *ApJ*, 476, 544
Samland, M., & Gerhard, O. 2003, *A&A*, 399, 961
Sancisi, R., Fraternali, F., Oosterloo, T., & van der Hulst, T. 2008, *A&A Rev*, 15, 189
Scannapieco, C., Tissera, P.B., White, S.D.M., & Springel, V. 2006, *MNRAS*, 371, 1125
Schaye, J., & Dalla Vecchia, C. 2008, *MNRAS*, 383, 1210
Schmidt, M. 1959, *ApJ*, 129, 243
Semelin, B., & Combes, F. 2002, *A&A*, 388, 826
Slyz, A.D., Devriendt, J.E.G., Bryan, G., & Silk, J. 2005, *MNRAS*, 356, 737
Springel, V. 2000, *MNRAS*, 312, 859
Springel, V., Yoshida, N., & White, S.D.M. 2001, *New Astron.*, 6, 79
Stil, J. M., & Israel, F. P. 2002, *A&A*, 392, 473
Strickland, D.K., Heckman, T.M., Colbert, E.J.M., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 606, 829
Tasker, E.J., & Bryan, G.L. 2008, *ApJ*, 673, 810
Thornton, K., Gaudlitz, M., Janka, H.-Th., & Steinmetz, M. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 95
Vieser, W., & Hensler, G. 2007, *A&A*, 472, 141
Wada, K., & Norman, C.A. 1999, *ApJ*, 516, L13
Wada, K., & Norman, C.A. 2001, *ApJ*, 547, 172
Wada, K., & Norman, C.A. 2007, *ApJ*, 660, 276
Wise, J.H., & Abel, T. 2007, *ApJ*, 665, 899
Wolfire, M.G., McKee, C.F., Hollenbach, D., & Tielens, A.G.G.M. 1995, *ApJ*, 453, 673